Environmental controls on zooplankton during hydrological periods of flooding and flushing in an Amazonian floodplain lake
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ABSTRACT

Environmental controls on zooplankton during hydrological periods of flooding and flushing in an Amazonian floodplain lake

The hydrological regime exerts a strong control on environmental and biological variables in floodplains. To better understand this process, the present study evaluates the influence of environmental variables on zooplankton community structure, focusing on the hydrological variation of the flood pulse. For this purpose, we address the following questions: (i) What is the effect of hydrologic period on environmental characteristics and the structure of zooplankton community? (ii) Do environmental and biological similarities between sampling sites in a lacustrine ecosystem differ within flooding and flushing periods? (iii) How do zooplanktonic organisms respond to environmental gradients? To achieve this work, environmental and biological samples were collected in 20 sampling sites along the Lago Grande do Curuai in March (flooding period) and September (flushing period) of 2013. While some zooplankton taxa showed relationship with some environmental variables, we estimate that the hydrological variation had a higher control over the taxonomic composition of Lago Grande do Curuai. Therefore, the strong flow that promotes connectivity between the habitats in the floodplain lake may also justify the similar pattern of heterogeneity between sampling sites for the zooplankton community in both periods, despite their environmental differences.
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RESUMO

Controle ambiental sobre o zooplâncton nos períodos hidrológicos de enchente e vazante em um lago de uma planície de inundação amazônica

O regime hidrológico exerce um forte controle sobre as variáveis ambientais e biológicas em planícies de inundação. Diante disso, o presente estudo avaliou a influência de variáveis ambientais na estruturação da comunidade zooplanctônica diante da variação hidrológica promovida pelo pulso de inundação. Para isso, pretendemos responder as seguintes questões: (i) Qual é o efeito do período hidrológico sobre as características ambientais e a estruturação da comunidade zooplanctônica? (ii) A heterogeneidade ambiental e biológica entre os pontos amostrados no ecossistema lacustre contrasta entre os períodos de enchente e vazante? (iii) Como os organismos zooplanctônicos respondem aos gradientes ambientais? Para isso, amostras ambientais e biológicas foram coletadas em 20 unidades amostrais ao longo do Lago Grande do Curuai em março (período de enchente) e setembro (período de vazante) de 2013. Algumas espécies zooplanctônicas apresentaram relações com as variáveis ambientais. Apesar disso, assumimos que a variação hidrológica teve maior controle sobre a composição taxonômica no Lago Grande do Curuai. Portanto, o forte fluxo que promove a conectividade entre os habitats na planície de inundação do lago também pode justificar o padrão similar de heterogeneidade entre as unidades amostrais em cada período hidrológico.
INTRODUCTION

Floodplains are regions under periodic influence of the overflow of a main river, which occur either through direct precipitation or water of subterranean origin (Junk et al., 1989, 2012). Due to these unique environments, the corresponding ecosystems are among the most diverse and productive ecosystems in the world (Ward et al., 1999; Tockner & Stanford, 2002; Abrial et al., 2014; Gilbert et al., 2014) and have great importance in the maintenance of biodiversity (Tockner et al., 2000; Bunn & Arthington, 2002).

Since the publication of Junk et al. (1989), there were several studies related to flood pulse in the Amazon basin. These studies include nutrient and sediment dynamics (Furch & Junk, 1993) as well as animal and plant species composition associated with the connectivity variation of the floodplain with the main river (Adis & Mahnert, 1990; Junk & Piedade, 1993; Thomaz et al., 2007). Although this approach was established nearly three decades ago, it is still relevant to current studies that highlight the anthropogenic impact on the natural variation of the flood pulse (Isaac et al., 2016) and seek more direct association between environmental factors and biological composition (Cardoso et al., 2017).

The hydrological regime is responsible for the environmental and biological homogenization during the high-water period, as well as an isolation of these areas during the low-water period, allowing for the development of different species composition in more disconnected areas (Taylor et al., 1993; Thomaz et al., 2007). There are several studies highlighting the effects of the flood pulse on different biological communities, including: fish (Arrington et al., 2005; Ortega et al., 2015), aquatic macrophytes (Rooney et al., 2013), phytoplankton (Chaparro et al., 2014) and zooplankton communities (Lansac-Tôha et al., 2014; Kobayashi et al., 2017).

Figure 1. Map of the sampling sites in Lago Grande do Curuai, Brazil. Mapa dos pontos amostrais no Lago Grande do Curuai - Brazil.

2015; Dias et al., 2016). The planktonic community also responds quickly to variations in environmental conditions (Vieira et al., 2011; Bozelli et al., 2015), mainly related to total nitrogen and phosphorus. Increases in these nutrients increase primary productivity, thus increasing the availability of food for several zooplankton species (Trevisan & Forsberg, 2007). Furthermore, recent studies show the role of the flood pulse and related environmental variables in structuring zooplankton communities (Bozelli et al., 2015; Goździejewska et al., 2016).

We evaluated the influence of the hydrological variation, promoted by the flood pulse, and environmental variables on structuring the zooplankton community. For this purpose, we aim to answer the following questions: (i) What is the effect of the hydrologic period on the environmental characteristics and zooplankton community structure? (ii) Do environmental and biological similarities between sampling sites in a lacustrine ecosystem differ within flooding and flushing periods? (iii) How do zooplanktonic organisms respond to these environmental gradients?

We hypothesize that both physical and chemical environmental characteristics and zooplankton community structure in the Lago Grande do Curuai have substantial differences between flooding and flushing periods. During the flooding period, we test whether there are more environmental and biological similarities in the lake, and whether some abiotic parameters, such as total nitrogen and total phosphorus, relate to zooplankton species distribution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was carried out in the floodplain of Lago Grande de Curuai, Pará, Brazil (56.10° to 55.00° W and 2.3° to 1.9° S), located on the south margin of the Amazon river, between the cities of Óbidos, Juruti, Terra Preta and Santarém. The lake is connected to the Amazon River by many channels, in particular during the high-water period. The region presents a monomodal, temporally well-defined sampling site with high and low waters cycles. These water cycles follow the flood pulse of the Amazon river, with the first phase (peak of high waters) occurring from May to July and the second phase (low water minimum) from October to December. The deep-water varies approximately 6 m (Amorim et al., 2009) and the flooded area varies annually between 600 to 2500 km² (Bonnet et al., 2008).

There were 20 sampling sites along Lago Grande do Curuai (Fig. 1). Biological and environmental samples were collected at each site in March (flooding period) and September (flushing period) of 2013.

Environmental data

Blue-green algae, dissolved organic carbon, conductivity, fluorescent dissolved organic matter, dissolved oxygen, water pressure, depth, total dissolved solids, temperature, pH and euphotic zone/depth were all quantified on site using a multiparameter water quality sonde at subsurface (ca 40 cm) (YSY, model EXO2). A Secchi disk was used to evaluate transparency. Samples of alkalinity, chlorophyll-a and total phosphorus were collected from the subsurface (ca 40 cm) and frozen until further analysis. In the laboratory, colorimetry was used to evaluate chlorophyll-a and total phosphorus, chemiluminescence to evaluate total nitrogen, and titration analysis to evaluate alkalinity (APHA-AWWA-WPCF, 1995).

Zooplanktonic community

Zooplankton were sampled at the subsurface (ca 50 cm) by pumping 300 L of water through a plankton net of 68 μm mesh size. They were subsequently fixed in formaldehyde (4 %) and buffered with sodium tetraborate. Both quantitative and qualitative analyses were used in the counting and identification of zooplanktonic organisms. In the quantitative analysis, at least 200 individuals of zooplankton (cladocerans, copepods, rotifers and testate amoebae) were counted per sample using a Sedgewick-Rafter chamber and Hensen-Stempel pipette. Subsequently, in the qualitative analysis, the organisms were sampled from the bottom of the beaker with a Pasteur-pipette. All new species were identified...
and their abundances recorded until no new species were identified (method modified from Bottrell et al., 1976). The densities were calculated per m³ of water and the organisms were classified into the lowest possible taxonomic level (usually species) with support of identification keys for each group: cladocerans (Elmoor-Loureiro, 1997), copepods (Silva, 2003; Perbiche-Neves, 2011), rotifers (Koste, 1978), and testate amoebae (Ogden & Hedley, 1980).

Data analysis

To verify the differences in the means of the variables and of the zooplanktonic groups between the sample periods (flooding and flushing) we performed a Student's t-test for paired samples. Prior to those analyses, a Detrended Correspondence Analysis (DCA) was performed to verify the dimensions of the environmental and biological gradients. With this technique, if the length of first axis is larger than four, unimodal methods (CA or CCA) are preferable. On the other hand, if the length of first axis is shorter than three, the linear method (PCA or RDA) is preferable. Both types of ordination (unimodal or linear methods) can be used when values are between three and four (Leps & Šmilauer, 2003).

To access the relative importance of environmental variables for zooplankton, cladocerans and copepods communities we performed a Redundancy Analysis (RDA, Legendre & Legendre, 2012), with a Hellinger transformation to abundance data (Legendre & Gallagher, 2001). We used Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA, Legendre & Legendre, 2012) for the long gradient distribution groups (rotifer and testate amoebae). To find a set of parsimonious environmental variables that significantly contributed to zooplankton community variation we performed a forward selection using the function ordistep (Blanchet et al., 2008) in the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2013). The variables selected by forward selection were used in all subsequent analyses. The following abbreviations and units of measure were used for the environmental variables: BGA = Blue-green algae (µg/L), Chlo-a = Chlorophyll-a (µg/L), N = Total nitrogen (µg/L), P = Total phosphorus (µg/L), TDS = Total dissolved solids (mg/L) and Transp = Transparency (m).

We performed a partial RDA with shorter gradients groups (pRDA, cladocerans and copepods) and a partial CCA with long gradients groups (pCCA, rotifers and testate amoebae) to compare the individual contributions of each selected environmental variable to their respective zooplankton group. To conduct these analyses, zooplankton density data was inserted as a response variable and each one of the environmental variables was inserted as a predictor, with the effects of the others being removed with the partial RDA. Before pRDA analysis, the biological variables were Hellinger-transformed.

After the DCA first axis length analysis (< 3), we conducted a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) with the selected variables to summarize the environmental variation in sampling sites in both flooding and flushing hydrologic periods. Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling Analysis (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis distance (Legendre & Legendre, 2012) was also performed to access the differences in zooplankton community structure and its main groups (cladocerans, copepods, rotifers and testate amoebae).

We tested for differences in environmental characteristics and zooplankton community structure (and its main groups) between flooding and flushing periods using Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance Using Distance Matrices (PERMANOVA), with the adonis2 function. To do so, the environmental variables were converted into a Euclidean distance matrix, while the biological ones were converted into a Bray-Curtis distance matrix.

We also performed a multivariate homogeneity analysis on group dispersions (PERMDISP, Anderson, 2006) to calculate the variance (heterogeneity) of zooplankton and the groups and environmental variables between the sampling sites within each hydrologic period. This variance was computed as the average distance of the sites within each hydrological period to its centroid (Anderson, 2006) using the function betadisper.

All analyses were performed with the vegan package (Oksanen et al., 2013), using R programming software (R Development Core Team, 2017).
Environmental control over zooplankton

### RESULTS

In all sampling sites, 143 zooplankton taxa were identified (Table S1, available at http://www.limnetica.net/en/limnetica), summing to a total of 4 870 567 individuals during both campaigns. While the flushing period showed 230% more zooplankton individuals than during the flooding period, the flooding period that showed the highest number of species altogether (Table 1). However, the differences were not significant when comparing the means of the abundances of the sampling sites (Table 2). The same pattern was found in relation to zooplankton groups, except for cladocerans, which were more abundant in the flooding period instead of flushing, and rotifers, which had a greater average abundance among sampling sites (Table 2).

The environmental variables that most contributed to variations in the zooplankton community according to forward selection were: total dissolved solids, transparency, blue green algae, total phosphorus, chlorophyll-a and total nitrogen (Table 3). Apart from total nitrogen, these variables varied greatly between flooding and flushing periods, showing clear environmental differences between these periods (Fig. 2A, PERMANOVA: $R^2 = 0.25; P < 0.01$). Sites in the flooding period presented the highest values of total dissolved solids and total phosphorus, while sites in the flushing period presented the highest values of blue green algae, chlorophyll-a and transparency (Table 3).

As observed in the environmental variables, the structure of the zooplankton community and its groups also differed between periods (Fig. 2B-E and Table 4). Regarding the biological variation in sampling sites, cladocerans and rotifers showed heterogeneity within flooding and flushing hydrologic periods (Table 3). However, cladocerans were more heterogeneous during the flushing period, while rotifers were more heterogeneous during the flooding period. When considering the entire zooplankton community, copepods and the testates amoebae groups did not show biological heterogeneity.

Significant associations were found between zooplankton species and environmental variables ($P < 0.05$, Fig. 3). With regard to cladocerans, *Bosmina tubicen* was positively correlated with chlorophyll-a. *Diaphanosoma birgei* was negatively correlated with chlorophyll-a, although presented positive correlation with total phosphorus. *Ceriodaphnia cornuta* was positively correlated with TDS and total phosphorus and *Moina minut* was positively related with total nitrogen. For the copepod group, copepoides and *nauplii* of the Diaptomidae family were positively correlated with total nitrogen, TDS and total phosphorus. *Thermocyclops decipiens* was negatively correlated with total phosphorus and *nauplii* of Cyclopidae family was negatively correlated with TDS and positively correlated with BGA (Fig. 3). For rotifers, Bdelloidea presented a positive correlation with BGA, chlorophyll-a and total nitrogen; on the other hand, *Brachionus falcatus*

---

### Table 1. Total zooplankton richness and abundance (ind/m$^3$) identified in each period. Riqueza e abundância totais (ind/m$^3$) de zooplâncton em cada período.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Flooding</th>
<th></th>
<th>Flushing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Richness</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
<td>Richness</td>
<td>Abundance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>1 373 307</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3 167 902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>212 734</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>204 626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>855 457</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 473 080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>201 174</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1 286 465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testates amoebae</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>103 942</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>203 731</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Comparative paired t-test for richness and abundance values between flooding and flushing periods. DF = Degrees of freedom. Teste t pareado comparativo para os valores de riqueza e abundância entre os períodos de enchente e a vazante. DF = Graus de liberdade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Richness</th>
<th>Abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t</td>
<td>df</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zooplankton</td>
<td>0.59146</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-3.1716</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocerans</td>
<td>2.8675</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.17821</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepods</td>
<td>1.7474</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.9152</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifers</td>
<td>-2.1748</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-4.1598</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testates amoebae</td>
<td>2.2034</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-1.2277</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For this reason, these hydrological variations, sedimentation of suspended solids and TDS and BGA, might be due to their longer average sampling sites within hydrological periods, implying that they may in fact be more subject to environmental variation in sampling sites in the entire region (Thomazé, 2011). Additionally, we tested for differences in environmental factors in this study, many species were directly determined as a result of the hydrologic period on the environmental and biological similarities in the lake, but also the increase in planktonic organisms and suspended solids, which may be due to the anthropogenic flushing period because they are less suited to stable states' model. We effectively responded to environmental characteristics and zooplanktonic organisms. Rotifers are evidenced as an important group To conduct these analyses. The following abbreviations and units were utilized in Table 2: BGA = blue-green algae (µg/L), Chlo-a = chlorophyll-a (µg/L), Transp = transparency (m), TDS = total dissolved solids (mg/L), P = total phosphorus (µg/L), N = total nitrogen (µg/L), Depth = total depth (m), Alc = alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃), DOC = dissolved organic carbon (mg/L), Cond = conductivity (µS/cm), FDOM = fluorescent dissolved organic matter (QSU), DO = dissolved oxygen (mg/L), Press = water pressure (psi), TDS = total dissolved solids (TDS), Temp = temperature (°C), Z/DT = euphotic zone/depth and df = degrees of freedom. Resumo estatístico das variáveis ambientais. Valores médios, mínimos (Min), máximos (Max), desvio padrão (SD), coeficiente de variação (CV) e testes t comparativos entre os períodos de enchente e vazante. BGA = algas verde-azuladas (µg/L), Chlo-a = clorofila-a (µg/L), N = nitrogênio total (µg/L), P = fósforo total (µg/L), TDS = sólidos totais dissolvidos (mg/L), Transp = transparência (m), TDS = sólidos totais dissolvidos mg/L, P = fósforo total (µg/L), N = nitrogênio total (µg/L), Depth = profundidade total (m), Alc = alcalinidade (mg/L CaCO₃), DOC = carbono orgânico dissolvido (mg/L), Cond = condutividade (µS/cm), FDOM = matéria orgânica fluorescente dissolvida (QSU), DO = oxigênio dissolvido (mg/L), Press = pressão da água (psi), TDS = sólidos totais dissolvidos (TDS), Temp = temperatura (°C), Z/DT = zona eufótica/profundidade e DF = Graus de liberdade.

Table 3. Statistical summary of environmental variables. Mean values, minimum (Min) and maximum values (Max), standard deviation (SD), coefficient of variation (CV) and comparative paired t-test between flooding and flushing periods. BGA = blue-green algae (µg/L), Chlo-a = chlorophyll-a (µg/L), Transp = transparency (m), TDS = total dissolved solids (mg/L), P = total phosphorus (µg/L), N = total nitrogen (µg/L), Depth = total depth (m), Alc = alkalinity (mg/L CaCO₃), DOC = dissolved organic carbon (mg/L), Cond = conductivity (µS/cm), FDOM = fluorescent dissolved organic matter (QSU), DO = dissolved oxygen (mg/L), Press = water pressure (psi), TDS = total dissolved solids (TDS), Temp = temperature (°C), Z/DT = euphotic zone/depth and df = degrees of freedom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BGA</th>
<th>Chlo-a</th>
<th>Transp</th>
<th>TDS</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Depth</th>
<th>Alc</th>
<th>DOC</th>
<th>Cond</th>
<th>FDOM</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Press</th>
<th>TDS</th>
<th>Temp</th>
<th>Z/DT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>88.53</td>
<td>392.35</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>22.88</td>
<td>12.39</td>
<td>73.15</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>47.55</td>
<td>30.94</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>251.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>12.89</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>21.90</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>29.68</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flooding</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>29.82</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>186.43</td>
<td>629.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>26.56</td>
<td>20.47</td>
<td>82.00</td>
<td>49.04</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>53.00</td>
<td>33.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>34.57</td>
<td>92.44</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>7.48</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>160.33</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>20.69</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>39.05</td>
<td>23.56</td>
<td>38.46</td>
<td>15.74</td>
<td>28.33</td>
<td>12.77</td>
<td>22.83</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>12.55</td>
<td>2.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>44.73</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>31.1</td>
<td>51.92</td>
<td>317.41</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>19.99</td>
<td>47.65</td>
<td>137.23</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>31.10</td>
<td>31.20</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>9.33</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>187.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.59</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>39.00</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>29.79</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flushing</td>
<td>Max</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>157.77</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>111.26</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>15.83</td>
<td>78.58</td>
<td>58.00</td>
<td>341.73</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td>32.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>25.32</td>
<td>111.03</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>17.74</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>140.83</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CV</td>
<td>74.26</td>
<td>96.24</td>
<td>28.37</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>48.76</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>20.82</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>88.74</td>
<td>9.14</td>
<td>102.62</td>
<td>69.83</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>32.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Axis 1</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.84</td>
<td>-0.71</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Axis 2</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-test</td>
<td>df</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Environmental control over zooplankton

Figure 2. PCA of environmental variables (A) and the NMDS of zooplankton community and its main groups (B to F). Black circles: sites in flooding period; white circles: sites in flushing period. BGA = Blue-green algae (µg/L), Chlo-α = Chlorophyll-α (µg/L), N = Total nitrogen (µg/L), P = Total phosphorus (µg/L), TDS = Total dissolved solids (mg/L) and Transp = Transparency (m). *PCA das variáveis ambientais (A) e NMDS da comunidade zooplanctônica e seus principais grupos (B a F). Círculos pretos: pontos no período de enchente; círculos brancos: pontos no período de vazante. BGA = Algas verde-azuladas (µg/L), Chlo-α = Clorofila-α (µg/L), N = Nitrogênio total (µg/L), P = Fósforo total (µg/L), TDS = Sólidos totais dissolvidos (mg/L) and Transp = Transparência (m).*
was negatively related with the same variables; *Brachionus zahniseri* positively correlated with transparency. *Keratella Americana*, *Colurella obtuse*, *Lecane submagna* and *Keratella lenzi* positively correlated with chlorophyll-\(a\), total nitrogen and phosphorus. Finally, regarding the testate amoebae group, *Trinema lineare* positively correlated with chlorophyll-\(a\) and BGA, *Lesquereusia globulosa* positively related with TDS and *Difflugiia difficilis* positively related with TDS and total phosphorus (Fig. 3). Therefore, although the environmental variables are important for the biological composition of the sampling sites, it should be emphasized that individually, the TDS content had the highest correlation with the distribution of cladocerans, copepods and rotifers according to pRDA and pCCA analyses (Table S2, available at http://www.limnetica.net/en/limnetica).

**DISCUSSION**

**Biological and environmental differences between hydrological periods**

Our results show strong environmental and biological distinctions between both hydrological periods. In this sense, total dissolved solids, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus were strongly associated with the flooding period. This result is likely due to waters from the Amazon River that enter Lago Grande do Curuai and flood in areas in the aquatic/terrestrial transition zone. This process brings a lot of suspended solids and organic matter into the lake (Junk et al., 1989; Bonnet et al., 2008; Wantzen et al., 2008; Junk et al., 2012).

On the other hand, the flushing period had a strong association with transparency, BGA, and chlorophyll-\(a\). As the water level in the lake begins to decrease, the influence of the Amazon River on the region becomes smaller. In addition, sedimentation of suspended solids and organic matter consumption by biological organisms naturally occurs (Wantzen et al., 2008). For this reason, these hydrological variations have also been studied in other aquatic ecosystems, including Mediterranean wetlands (Gilbert et al., 2014).

Biological differences between hydrological periods were also evident. As planktonic organisms have reduced size and life cycles, they are usually more susceptible to environmental effects than larger size groups (Bie et al., 2012; Dias et al., 2016). Therefore, the same hydrological regime that influenced the environment may have directly influenced the zooplankton community, which can be passively transported by the water flow, or indirectly, through the modification of environmental variables or other biological groups that were also strongly able to influence the community composition of zooplankton. For example, as several zooplanktonic groups feed on phytoplankton organisms, and the flushing period had high values of chlorophyll-\(a\) and transparency values, such factors may have been associated with the expressive abundance of organisms during this hydrological period.

Finally, there are three important points that should be considered in our study. The first point concerns how the zooplankton were collected at 50 cm depth and therefore do not represent the entire water column. Zooplankton organisms undergo vertical migration in the water column; it is therefore possible that some organisms have not been collected. On the other hand, the samples were standardized between the seasons (zooplankton collected at 50 cm depth) and the depth of the sample points varied slightly between flooding and flushing (mean values of 3.67 and 3.73 m, respectively)
The richness and density of rotifers and testate amoebae should be interpreted with caution.

**Biological and environmental differences between sampling sites within each hydrological period**

We expected a higher environmental heterogeneity between sampling sites within the flooding...
period, since it followed an extremely heterogeneous low water period. Our expectations were based on the dynamics of high and low waters periods. High water periods allow for high connectivity between habitats and organisms and promote a strong homogenization of the environmental characteristics of the entire region (Thomaz et al., 2007), while the low water periods indicate less connectivity between floodplain regions and, habitats consequently tend to be more heterogeneous (Simões et al., 2013; Bozelli et al., 2015). However, our expectations were not confirmed and both periods (flooding and flushing) were equally heterogeneous between sampling sites. We attributed this result to the strong flow from the Amazon River, which quickly homogenizes the environmental characteristics that were heterogenous in the low water period.

Regarding the biological heterogeneity between sites for each zooplanktonic group, we show here that some taxa are more able to withstand the flood pulse. This is mainly due to characteristics that allow these organisms to survive in certain environments (Bunn & Arthington, 2002; Mouzinho et al., 2010; Abrial et al., 2014). For example, the size of certain organisms allows them to deal with environmental conditions through dispersion (Dias et al., 2016). These factors may justify the composition of many biological groups; in our study, testates amoebae exhibited high richness, yet showed low abundance compared to the other zooplankton groups. As testates amoebae have limited dispersion capacity and more susceptibility to the environment than other groups with larger body sizes and dispersion capacity (Dias et al., 2016), they effectively responded to environmental variation, mainly TDS. In addition, this community showed higher taxonomic richness in the flushing period, in which TDS presented the highest average values.

Copepods also did not present heterogeneity in sampling sites within hydrological periods, which may be due to their longer average lifetimes, as well as their greater ease of dispersion due to their corporeal size. Therefore, this group is less subject to environmental variations than the other groups (Dias et al., 2016). Notably, in lacustrine environments (Echaniz & Vignatti, 2017), copepod abundance was the most representative for both periods, and higher during the flushing period. Therefore, we assume that in general, and as evidenced for invertebrates in other studies (Dias et al., 2016; Goździejewska et al., 2016), hydrological conditions have a strong association with the community composition of zooplankton in floodplains.

The cladocera community exhibited more heterogeneity between sampling sites within the flushing period because they are less suited to large watercourses, tending to shelter in regions with lower water flow and where there are natural barriers against predators, such as in macrophytes banks (Castilho-Noll et al., 2010; Montiel-Martineez et al., 2015). On the other hand, rotifers showed more heterogeneity between sampling sites within the flushing period. However, the abundance of these organisms was higher by about six times during the flushing period, implying that the increase in primary productivity may have been a determining factor. This result could be due to the adaptive strategies of those taxa that are related to each hydrological period (Paidere, 2009; Chaparro et al., 2014). Rotifers are evidenced as an extremely cosmopolitan group. Furthermore, their ability to rapidly reproduce and their smaller body sizes would influence the dispersion capacity, implying that they may in fact be more subject to environmental variations than the other groups (Dias et al., 2016).

Biological and environmental associations

The pulse of water originating from the Amazon River to the Lago Grande do Curuai may have favoured not only the increase in organic matter and suspended solids, but also the increase in species richness for all groups, as it would change the movement of organisms and lead many of them to the surface. In addition, despite the predominance of hydrology over biological factors in this study, many species were directly related to certain environmental variables.

Most zooplanktonic groups have appropriate reproduction strategies to deal with environmental conditions. For example, cladocerans, rotifers, and copepods produce diapausing eggs that persist in the sediment until conditions are adequate for hatching (Xu et al., 2017, García-Roger & Ortells, Limnetica, 39(1): 35-48 (2020)
This quality is what makes these organisms effective in responding to environmental changes (Payne, 2013).

In fact, some species are associated with certain environmental variables. For one, *Moina minuta* are associated with environments with higher concentration of N, P and chlorophyll-α (Vieira et al., 2011). Additionally, *Diaphanosoma spinulosum* is found in environments with relatively lower nutrients and chlorophyll-α (Vieira et al., 2011), while *Keratella americana* is related to chlorophyll-α (Rodriguez & Matsumura-Tundisi, 2000).

In this study, the larval and juvenile stages of copepods left a strong signature. Considering this finding, the Cyclopidae family presents diapause between their juvenile and adult stage (Lampert & Sommer, 2007). The high abundance of larval and juvenile copepods stages in this work can be justified by such conditions. The association between Cyclopidae and algae, for example, may have occurred because individuals of this family are typically herbivores in their juvenile stages, yet may feed on other zooplanktonic organisms in the adult stage (Adrian & Frost, 1993). The Cyclopidae family is related to the Diaptomidae family, although the latter is more associated with herbivorism and may have differentiated feeding habits depending on the species (Lampert & Sommer, 2007). Therefore, in the case of copepods, different feeding habits and experiences can explain their differences in correspondence to environmental variables.

**CONCLUSION**

In this study, we suggest that hydrological periods, in particular flooding and flushing, were largely responsible for structuring the zooplanktonic community in the Amazonian floodplain of Lago Grande do Curuai. In addition, the environmental variables changed according to the hydrological period in the lake.

The species tested in this work related to the environmental variables. The strong flow that promotes connectivity between habitats in the floodplain may also explain the similar pattern of biological heterogeneity found in sampling sites within both hydrologic periods, despite the environmental differences between them.

The results found in this study are highly valuable, as certain zooplankton species have the potential to respond to environmental variations. Considering these findings, it is important for the community to establish permanent biomonitoring programs in all hydrological periods in Lago Grande do Curuai, which would highlight the importance of considering environmental effects on the community.
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